

**Experimental and quasi-experimental designs:
Establishing causal effects of independent variables**

Tobias Bast
School of Psychology, University of Nottingham

The Importance of Experimental Design



Let's see if the subject responds to magnetic stimuli... ADMINISTER THE MAGNET!
From: <http://www.hawaii.edu/fishlab/NearsideFrame.htm>

Feynman – Key to science
<http://youtu.be/b240PGCMwV0>



UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA
1



Richard Feynman
1918-1988

Feynman – The key to science

In general, we look for a new law by the following process: First we guess it; then we compute the consequences of the guess to see what would be implied if this law that we guessed is right; then we compare the result of the computation to nature, with experiment or experience, compare it directly with observation, to see if it works. **If it disagrees with experiment, it is wrong.** In that simple statement is the key to science. It does not make any difference how beautiful your guess is, it does not make any difference how smart you are, who made the guess, or what his name is — **if it disagrees with experiment, it is wrong.**

<http://youtu.be/b240PGCMwV0>

Outline

- Experimental method in psychological research
- ‘True’ experiments and importance of random allocation
- ‘Quasi’-experiments
- Alternatives to random allocation: systematic ways to control for nuisance variables

Experiments

Experiments – involve the manipulation of a variable of interest (**independent variable** or **treatment**) and the measurement of the effect this has on another variable of interest (**dependent variable**) with the aim of establishing **causality**. (Other research approaches include (cor)relational and observational/descriptive approaches.)

Experimental design – protocol for data collection with the aim to establish **causality** between treatment and changes in the dependent variable; a key consideration is how participants are allocated to treatment conditions.

‘True’ experiments – involve full control of the experimenter over allocation and scheduling of the treatment; in psychology and social sciences, ‘true’ experiment is often meant to refer to protocols involving **random allocation** of participants to treatments.

What is the purpose of random allocation of participants to treatment conditions?

- Practicality
- Increase of statistical power
- Control for nuisance/confounding variables
- Increase in external validity

Random allocation

• Every participant has an equal chance of being allocated to any condition.

• Purpose is to spread any potentially confounding differences (‘nuisance variables’) between participants evenly across treatment conditions; i.e. to **minimise systematic differences other than the treatment**.

• Random allocation **aids in isolating the causal effects of the treatment on the dependent variable** (by removing systematic influence of nuisance variables/threats to internal validity).

• Particularly important where potential nuisance variables are difficult to identify.

• Procedures for random allocation may involve using a draw to allocate participants to conditions or using a random number generator.

Exercise

What's wrong with the following experiment?

A final year project student wants to examine how caffeine affects performance on a memory test. All participants were asked not to consume caffeinated drinks within 24 h before the test. The first 15 participants to come to the department were given a cup of caffeinated coffee before the memory test. The next 15 participants to come to the department were given a cup of decaffeinated coffee before the memory test. The test performance of each group was used as dependent variable.

7

What's wrong with this experiment?

- a) Nothing.
- b) Allocation of participants to groups.
- c) Sample size.
- d) Both b) and c).

8

'Quasi'-experiments

•Research procedure that aims to establish causal effect between an independent variable and variations in a dependent variable, but where there is **no full control over the allocation of participants** to the different levels of the independent variable.

•The **lack of random allocation** is typically considered the demarcation from 'true' experiments in many areas of psychology, such as social and educational psychology.

•The lack of random allocation poses a key threat to internal validity, as it increases the **risk that group/conditions may systematically differ with respect to factors other than the independent variable.**

9

Some common 'quasi'-experimental designs

Nomenclature: X = a treatment O = observation/measurement
... = not randomly assigned

•One group pre-post test design

O X O

10

Which of the following is a key threat to internal validity in a pre-post test (O X O) design?

- a) Compensatory rivalry
- b) History
- c) Maturation
- d) Both b and c

11

Some common 'quasi'-experimental designs

Nomenclature: X = a treatment O = observation/measurement
... = not randomly assigned

•One group pre-post test design

O X O

•Non-equivalent control group design

O X O
.....
O O

•Interrupted time-series design

O O O O O O X O O O O O O O
.....
O O O O O O O O O O O O (with non-equivalent control group)

12

In a nutshell

- Aim of the experimental approach is to establish **causal relationships** between an independent variable ('treatment', 'manipulation') and a dependent variable.
- A key consideration in experimental design are **nuisance variables or third factors** that may lead us to falsely conclude a causal relationship between independent and dependent variables.
- One key approach to control for nuisance variables is **random allocation** to treatments; alternatives include **blocking, counterbalancing and matching**.
- In psychology and social sciences, random allocation is often considered the demarcation criterion between 'true' experiments and 'quasi'-experiments.

19

Suggested reading

A book on research methods, for example:

A Field & G Hole (2003) *How to design and report experiments*. Sage, London. Especially chapter 3.

DG Elmes, BH Kantowitz, HL Roediger III (any recent edition) *Research methods in psychology*. West Publishing Company, St. Paul.

Further specialised reading

E Ferguson & P Bibby (2004) The design and analysis of quasi-experimental field research. In: GM Breakwell (ed.), *Doing social psychology research*, Chapter 3, p. 93-127.

MFW Festing, P Overend, RG Das, MC Borja, M Berdoy (2002) *The design of animal experiments – reducing the use of animals in research through better experimental design*. Royal Society of Medicine Press, London.

DT Campbell, JC Stanley (1966) *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston.

20

Some questions for revisions

•What are the key features differentiating the experimental approach from correlational and observational research approaches in psychology?

• In general:

How can we ensure the internal validity of our research (i.e., that any changes observed in our dependent variables are due to changes in our independent variable, rather than other factors)?

• More specifically:

-What is the purpose of random allocation?

- Are there alternatives to random allocation? Consider pros and cons.

21